arXiv:1304.0792v1 [hep-th] 2 Apr 2013

More stable dS vacua from S-dual non-geometric fluxes

Cesar Damian[1] and Oscar Loaiza-Brito[2]

Departamento de Física, DCI, Campus León,
Universidad de Guanajuato, C.P. 37150, Leon, Guanajuato, Mexico.

Abstract

We report the existence of explicit flux configurations leading to stable de Sitter and Anti- de Sitter vacua, consisting on Type IIB compactifications on a 6-dimensional anisotropic torus threaded with standard and S-dual invariant non-geometric fluxes in the presence of orientifold 3-planes. In all dS vacua the masses of the complex structure moduli are heavier than the Hubble scale suggesting that the axio-dilaton and Kähler moduli are natural candidates for small-field inflation. We also find that, at least for the reported solutions, compactifications on anisotropic torus increases the number of moduli fields with sub-Hubble masses with respect to a compactification on an isotropic torus.

---

[1] cesaredas@fisica.ugto.mx
[2] oloaiza@fisica.ugto.mx

1 Introduction

Over the last few years, the search for stable or metastable vacua has been the center of great interest by the string cosmology community [1, 2] (for a recent review see [3] and references therein). In particular, there is a class of models which has been studied in detailed concerning a Type II compactification on an isotropic or anisotropic torus in the presence of a $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ orientifold. The existence of stable dS vacua has been found by using different methods from algebraic formalisms to numerical calculations [4–16].

In this work we continue our search, initiated in [17], for stable de Sitter (dS) and anti-de Sitter (AdS) vacua by implementing a genetic algorithm in a type IIB compactification on an anisotropic torus threaded with Ramond-Ramond (RR), Neveu-Schawrz-Neveu-Schwarz (NS-NS) and S-dual non-geometric fluxes denoted (Q, P) [18, 19] in the presence of orientifold 3-planes. In this case we are not considering exotic orientifold planes or equivalently, odd-valued integer fluxes.

Besides the necessity to incorporate the S-dual non-geometric fluxes P, we are interested in studying how their presence alters the existence of stable dS and/or AdS vacua and their influence on some cosmological features as the existence of stable inflationary directions. We also are interested in comparing those same features in compactifications on isotropic or anisotropic torus, i.e., we want to study whether the torus anisotropy favors or not the presence of stable vacua and the existence of inflationary conditions.

Although we are still far to present a full analysis, we found some generic features, as:

1. There is always a hierarchy on the moduli masses and the complex structure is always heavier than the rest of the moduli .
2. The supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking scale and the gravitino mass are very high, and their order of magnitude seems to be independent of whether the torus is isotropic or anisotropic as well as if we are considering both non-geometric fluxes Q, P or just one kind of them Q or P.
3. It seems that the sub-Hubble moduli masses correspond to the Kähler moduli, favoring a possible inflation driven by them. In the isotropic case we find that only the Kähler moduli have sub-Hubble masses while in the anisotropic case, also the imaginary part of the axio-dilaton has a mass lighter than the Hubble scale.

It is important to remark that our search is limited to some ad hoc selection of families of solutions in the flux configuration space which does not allow us to search the full space of flux configurations. Therefore, although the above features seems to be generic, they are the result of some concrete solutions we have found. A more complete analysis is still under work.

Equally important is to notice that all these 4-dimensional models constructed from the inclusion of S-dual non-geometric fluxes are still not derived from a ten-dimensional string theory, although huge efforts have been made over the last few years. It is of great importance to see whether these models can be obtained from a compactification of a ten-dimensional theory [20, 21].

2 The effective scalar potential

Following the analysis performed in [17] we look for stable de Sitter (dS) and Anti de Sitter (AdS) vacua in the context of Type IIB superstring compactification on an anisotropic torus threaded with non-geometric fluxes Q *and their S-dual counterparts* referred as P-fluxes. Standard orientifold three-planes acts by a $\mathbf{Z}_2$ symmetry on the internal space, i.e., we do not consider the presence of $O7$-planes or D-branes. The effective supersymmetric theory contains seven complex moduli fields, denoted by

$\Phi_i = \phi_i + i\psi = \{\tau_{1,2,3}, S, U_{1,2,3}\}$, where τ_i is the complex structure, S the axio-dilaton and U_i the Kähler moduli. The corresponding superpotential is given by [19]

$$W = P_0 - \Phi_4 P_1 + \sum_{m=5}^{7} \left(P_2^m - \Phi_4 P_3^m\right) \Phi_m, \tag{2.1}$$

where $P_i = P_i(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)$ are polynomial of cubic order on the complex structure with real coefficients as follows (where the convention of summing on contracted indices is taken):

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\Phi_{1,2,3}) &= a_{00}^0 - a_{01}^i \Phi_i + a_{02}^{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j - a_{03}^{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k, \\ P_1(\Phi_{1,2,3}) &= a_{10}^0 - a_{11}^i \Phi_i + a_{12}^{ij} \Phi_i \Phi_j - a_{13}^{ijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k, \\ P_2^m(\Phi_{1,2,3}) &= a_{20}^{m0} + a_{21}^{mi} \Phi_i - a_{22}^{mij} \Phi_i \Phi_j - a_{23}^{mijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k, \\ P_3^m(\Phi_{1,2,3}) &= a_{30}^{m0} + a_{31}^{mi} \Phi_i + a_{32}^{mij} \Phi_i \Phi_j + a_{33}^{mijk} \Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where a_{rs}^{mijk} correspond to RR ($r = 0$), NS-NS ($r = 1$), Q (r=2) and P-fluxes ($r = 3$) integrated over 3-cycles and with one leg over each torus, and the index m runs from 1 to 3. Notice that for the isotropic case the upper indices i, j, k are all equal since $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \Phi_3$, while in the anisotropic torus, all of them are different. See Table 1 for our notation.

Table 1: Integrated fluxes. Latin indices correspond to horizontal coordinates on the torus, while greek indices are related to vertical coordinates. Only invariant fluxes to the orientifold projection are shown.

Term in W	IIB Flux	a_{rs}^{mijk}
1	$\bar{F}_{ijk}$	a_{00}^0
Φ_i	$\bar{F}_{ij\gamma}$	a_{01}^i
$\Phi_i\Phi_j$	$\bar{F}_{i\beta\gamma}$	a_{02}^{ij}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k$	$\bar{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	a_{03}^{ijk}
1	$\bar{H}_{ijk}$	a_{10}^0
Φ_i	$\bar{H}_{\alpha jk}$	a_{11}^i
$\Phi_i\Phi_j$	$\bar{H}_{i\beta\gamma}$	a_{12}^{ij}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k$	$\bar{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	a_{13}^{ijk}
1	$\bar{Q}_k^{\alpha\beta}$	a_{20}^0
Φ_i	$\bar{Q}_k^{\alpha j}$,$\bar{Q}_k^{i\beta}$, $\bar{Q}_\alpha^{\beta\gamma}$	a_{21}^{m1},a_{21}^{m2},a_{21}^{m3}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j$	$\bar{Q}_\gamma^{i\beta}$,$\bar{Q}_\beta^{\gamma i}$,$\bar{Q}_k^{ij}$	a_{22}^{mi1},a_{22}^{mi2},a_{22}^{mi3}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k$	$\bar{Q}_\gamma^{ij}$	a_{23}^{m123}
1	$\bar{P}_k^{\alpha\beta}$	a_{30}^0
Φ_i	$\bar{P}_k^{\alpha j}$,$\bar{P}_k^{i\beta}$, $\bar{P}_\alpha^{\beta\gamma}$	a_{31}^{m1},a_{31}^{m2},a_{31}^{m3}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j$	$\bar{P}_\gamma^{i\beta}$,$\bar{P}_\beta^{\gamma i}$,$\bar{P}_k^{ij}$	a_{32}^{mi1},a_{32}^{mi2},a_{32}^{mi3}
$\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k$	$\bar{P}_\gamma^{ij}$	a_{33}^{m123}

Substituying the above polynomials in the superpotential W, we are able to compute the corresponding scalar potential given by

$$V = e^K \left(\mathcal{G}^{i\bar{j}} \mathcal{G}_i \mathcal{G}_{\bar{j}} - 3\right) \tag{2.3}$$

where as usual $\mathcal{G}^{i\bar{j}}$ is the inverse of the Kähler metric, $\mathcal{G} \equiv = K - log(|W|^2)$ and the Kähler potential K is

$$K = -\sum_{i=1}^{7} \ln(2\psi_i). \tag{2.4}$$

The result is a scalar potential which is written as a function of the fluxes a_{rs}^{mikj} and 7 complex fields. In principle there is a huge number of flux configurations for which the scalar potential could have a stable minimum. However, the set of fluxes are constrained by tadpole conditions and Bianchi identities. Therefore, it is necessary to find a set of fluxes which fulfill these constraints before looking for extrema of the scalar potential.

2.1 Constraints

As it is known, there are basically two types of constraints a set of fluxes must fulfill. The first concerns the Tadpole conditions or roughly speaking the cancellation of D3-brane charges on T^6:

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathbf{T}}^6} H_3 \wedge F_3 = 16, \tag{2.5}$$

and the corresponding constraint on the Q and P -fluxes by imposing $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ invariance and T-duality:

$$\int Q{\cdot}F_3 = \int P{\cdot}H_3 = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

where as usual the Q and P fluxes contracts with a p-form to give a $p-1$ form.

Similarly, the dual counterpart of Bianchi identity on H_3 given by $dH_3 = 0$ is obtained by extending the algebra of isometry generators of the twisted background, where one can observe that the structure constants of the Lie algebra are in fact the non-geometric fluxes Q and P. The generalized identities are then given by (see [18] for a formal derivation):

$$Q_{[L}^{RP} H_{MN]P} - P_{[L}^{RP} F_{MN]P} = 0, \quad Q_P^{[MN} Q_R^{L]P} = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$Q_P^{[MN} P_R^{L]P} + P_P^{[MN} Q_R^{L]P} = 0, \quad P_P^{[MN} P_R^{L]P} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

3 Stable dS and AdS

By implementing a genetic algorithm we look for stable minima for the generated scalar potential by a set of fluxes satisfying the above constraints. Basically we concentrate our study to isotropic and anisotropic torus compactifications[3]. Such selection must be put by hand in our algorithm at the beginning of our calculations. See Appendix B for the algorithm code.

3.1 AdS vacua

We report the existence of at least 6 AdS vacua constructed from different flux configurations by compactifying on an isotropic torus. For the anisotropic case, we were not able to find a single stable case to report. Concerning the isotropic cases, we observe in general that the vacuum energy values are to high to be realistic. We show our results in Table 2, where we have omitted the indices related to the anisotropic case.

---

[3] Isotropic compactifications with Q-fluxes in the absence of P-fluxes were already studied in [17].

Table 2: Flux configuration for AdS vacua. All cases correspond to compactification on an isotropic torus. The value for the scalar potential at its minimum is given in mass Planck units.

	Flux	Case A	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	Case F
RR	a^0_{00}	4	8	10	0	0	4
	a_{01}	10	10	12	0	0	$(12, 12, 12)$
	a_{02}	22	14	16	16	8	24
	a_{03}	46	22	24	16	8	64
NS-NS	a^0_{10}	10	52	48	-2	-4	-2
	a_{11}	8	4	2	0	0	0
	a_{12}	-6	-40	-48	42	42	0
	a_{13}	-54	-24	-64	64	46	-24
Q	a_{20}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	a^j_{21}	$(0, 0, 0)$	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)	$(0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0)$	$(-4, -4, -4)$
	a^j_{22}	$(0, 0, 0)$	(0,0,0)	(0,0,0)	$(32, 32, 32)$	$(8, 8, 8)$	$(0, 0, 0)$
	a_{23}	0	0	0	32	8	24
P	a_{30}	-12	-16	-16	-4	-4	0
	a^j_{31}	$(24, 24, -12)$	$(32, 32, -16)$	$(32, 32, -16)$	$(4, 4, 0)$	$(4, 4, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0)$
	a^j_{32}	$(24, 24, -48)$	$(32, 32, -64)$	$(32, 32, -64)$	$(40, 40, 36)$	$(38, 38, 34)$	$(0, 0, 24)$
	a_{33}	48	64	64	40	38	0
	V_{min}	-548.06	-24.371	-13.212	-19.123	-0.83514	-59.0505

Notice that in the first three cases (A,B,C) there are not Q-fluxes, i.e., we are considering a compactification with only P-fluxes while the last three cases consider the presence of both non-geometric fluxes. The corresponding masses for all the 6 real stabilized moduli after diagonalization of the mass matrix (see [17]) for details), are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Moduli squared masses for AdS vacua.

Moduli	Case A	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	Case F
ϕ_1	1.880×10^5	2.332×10^4	19191.	2.766×10^6	3.8103×10^6	1425.3
ψ_1	1.202×10^5	2.177×10^4	18913.	2.7484×10^6	3.8085×10^6	1100.8
ϕ_4	4.656×10^4	1.065×10^4	6198.4	3379.7	315.45	371.90
ψ_4	3.321×10^4	3.889×10^3	2496.3	1753.8	185.52	151.62
ϕ_5	6.347×10^3	46.450	20.054	13.042	0.5605	40.286
ψ_5	4.983×10^3	25.422	12.727	12.748	0.55676	39.443

We observe that the energy vev and moduli masses seems to be independent of the type of fluxes present in the compactification. Also it is important to remark that the absence of anisotropic solutions might be a consequence of the method we are using here to find stable vacua.

3.2 De-Sitter vacua

We have found 4 explicit flux configurations fulfilling the tadpole and Bianchi constraints with a positive-valued minimum. We have not imposed extra conditions on the fluxes by the breaking of supersymmetry, implying that supersymmetry is broken through all moduli. Similarly, in order to relax our system by avoiding more extra conditions, we have considered only even fluxes in our solutions, this means that we have not considered the presence of exotic orientifolds.

There are two solutions which correspond to compactifications on isotropic torus with only P-fluxes (Case 1) and with both non-geometric fluxes (Q, P) (Case 2). We have also found 2 vacua related to compactifications on an anisotropic torus with only P-fluxes (Case 3) and with both fluxes (Q, P) (Case 4). Our results are shown in the Table 4. The value for the scalar potential at its minimum is given in mass Planck units.

Table 4: Flux configuration for dS vacua.

	Flux	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
RR	a_{00}^{0}	20	4	0	0
	a_{01}^{i}	36	8	$(0,0,0)$	$(0,0,0)$
	a_{02}^{ij}	44	20	$(20,58,24)$	$(40,62,20)$
	a_{03}^{ijk}	48	53	54	58
NS-NS	a_{10}^{0}	50	-4	8	0
	a_{11}^{i}	42	0	$(0,8,0)$	$(0,8,0)$
	a_{12}^{ij}	34	0	$(16,52,32)$	$(26,20,32)$
	a_{13}^{ijk}	28	-50	56	32
Q	a_{20}^{m0}	0	0	$(0,0,0)$	$(0,0,0)$
	a_{21}^{mi}	(0,0,0)	$(-12,-12,0)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 86 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	a_{22}^{mij}	(0,0,0)	$(0,0,0)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	a_{23}^{mijk}	0	60	$(0,0,0)$	0
P	a_{30}^{m0}	-40	0	$(0,0,0)$	$(0,0,0)$
	a_{31}^{mi}	$(20,20,-40)$	(0,0,0)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	a_{32i}^{mij}	$(20,20,-10)$	(0,0,30)	$\begin{pmatrix} 44 & -8 & 60 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 34 & -14 & 58 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	a_{33}^{mijk}	10	0	$(44,0,0)$	$(34,0,0)$
	V_{min}	1005.4	811.81	8.1689×10^{-4}	0.221708

Since we have not imposed extra conditions on the fluxes, we have assumed that SUSY is broken through all moduli, although the F-term related to the complex structure moduli has the higher value, indicating that SUSY is broken mainly by this complex field and therefore, establishing that the sgoldstino points towards this direction. For all cases, the F-term given by

$$< F^{i} >=< e^{\mathscr{K}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{i}} \mathscr{W} \mathscr{K}^{i\bar{i}} >, \tag{3.1}$$

are of order 10^3 in mass Planck units. Similarly, the gravitino mass $m_{3/2} = e^{\mathscr{K}/2} W$ for the 4 cases is of order of $10^1 - 10^2$. On the other hand, the masses of all stabilized moduli are given in Table 5, where the curvature contribution to the mass given by

$$M_{ij}^2 = D_i \partial_j \mathscr{V} + \frac{2}{3} V_0 \mathscr{K}_{ij}, \tag{3.2}$$

has been already considered as well as the required diagonalization of the mass matrix. In consequence, we can notice the following:

- There is always a hierarchy on the moduli masses. Specifically the complex structure masses are always heavier than the rest of the moduli and they are upper-Hubble, implying that they cannot play the role of an inflaton in the small-field regime. The heaviest corresponding to the complex structure moduli, which breaks SUSY. Therefore we can notice that in all these models, the sgoldstino is almost orthogonal to possible inflationary directions (see below).
- For the isotropic cases, there are subHubble masses corresponding to the Kähler moduli masses. This suggests the possibility that in case of having suitable conditions for small-field inflation, it would be driven by the Kḧaler moduli.
- For the anisotropic cases we see that for both cases the sub-Hubble masses correspond to the Kähler moduli and to the imaginary part of the axio-dilaton. In this sense we can conclude that at least for these two cases, the chances to identify a possible slow-roll inflaton in the small-field regime increases. Wether this feature is generic in an anisotropic torus is still an open question. Notice that the value of the sub-Hubble masses of the Kähler fields come mainly from the curvature term in the mass formula 3.2.

Table 5: Moduli squared masses for dS vacua.

	Moduli	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
τ	ϕ_1	1.093×10^6	8.7741×10^4	79.6350	508.552
	ψ_1	9.133×10^5	2.8076×10^4	60.0020	428.107
	ϕ_2			25.9790	207.714
	ψ_2			6.42500	129.725
	ϕ_3			7.803×10^{-2}	2.2568
	ψ_3			1.019×10^{-2}	0.61257
S	ϕ_4	2.132×10^4	1.9624×10^4	1.24×10^{-3}	0.45695
	ψ_4	1.548×10^4	1.7847×10^4	7.3×10^{-4}	0.04944
U	ϕ_5	5.588×10^2	6.6473×10^2	5.77×10^{-3}	0.22366
	ψ_5	7.186×10^2	5.9443×10^2	5.39×10^{-3}	0.20849
	ϕ_6			5.48×10^{-3}	0.19420
	ψ_6			5.4×10^{-4}	0.11964
	ϕ_7			5.4×10^{-4}	0.16006
	ψ_7			5.4×10^{-4}	0.14793

4 Final Comments

In this work we report on the existence of AdS and dS vacua in the context of type IIB string compactification on isotropic and anisotropic torus threaded with RR, NS-NS and S-dual (Q, P) non-geometric fluxes in the presence of orientifold 3-planes. The case of $P = 0$ on an isotropic torus was studied in [17]. By implementing a genetic algorithm we have been able to find 4 stable AdS vacua corresponding to a compactification on isotropic 6-dimensional torus. For the anisotropic case, we were not capable to find a single stable AdS vacuum.

Concerning the existence of dS vacua, we have found 4 different flux configurations leading to stable vacua. Although our algorithm does not allows us to search the entire flux configuration scape, we notice some generalities of the reported solutions:

1. The complex structure masses are always larger than the axio-dilaton and Kähler moduli masses for both, the isotropic and anisotropic cases. The F-term related to the complex structure moduli is always larger than the rest, suggesting that the sgoldstino is directed along the complex structure derivative and since the masses are always larger than the Hubble scale, the complex structure is discarded as a possible inflaton in the small-field regime.
2. The order of magnitude of the energy vev's, gravitino masses and F-terms seems to be independent of the isotropy or anisotropy of the 6-dimensional torus and of the presence of both non-geometric fluxes (Q, P) or just one kind of them Q or P. However we notice that the number moduli masses which are below the Hubble scale increases in the anisotropic case compared with the isotropic case. Therefore, at least for the cases we report, we observe that the size of sub-Hubble moduli increases in the anisotropic compactifications suggesting that in such scenarios the probability to find candidates for small-field inflation is also bigger, although deeper studies are needed.

The models here presented corresponds only to a minuscule portion of the whole field configuration space. However we believe according to the form of the superpotential which clearly establishes a different role for the complex structure with respect to the other moduli, that the above features are rather generic. Clearly it is necessary to explore in more detail the space of solutions. It might be possible that corrections to the Kähler potential and/or incorporation of D-branes would change the values of masses and SUSY breaking scales to more realistic scenarios.

Acknowledgements

We thank Saul Ramos-Sanchez for useful suggestions and for many interesting discussions. D. A is supported by a doctoral CONACyT grant. O.L.-B. is partially supported by CONACyT under contract No. 132166.

A Genetic code

The following code shows the methodology employed to minimize the scalar potential for the anisotropic case. The remaining cases are taken as particular cases of this algorithm. The source code assumes that a scalar potential is stored on a handled function called `V_anisotropic,` whose entries correspond to the fluxes which satisfy both tadpole cancellation conditions and the Bianchi identities. The final points of interest given by the genetic algorithm are stored on a file called `solucion_anisotropic.m` and `flujo2_anisotropic.m.` Once these variables are stored, the function "ToMathematica" translates all data into a Mathematica language. Finally, these solutions are further improved in mathematica by using the standard Newton method with an accuracy of 1000 decimals[4] (the source code is not shown).

```
clear all;
clc
\%up and lb contains the boundaries on the moduli space explored by the ga
k=3; \%Family solution
```

---

[4] We thank Saul Ramos-Sanchez for suggesting us this improvement in our method.

```
lb=[.1,.0001,-500,.0001,-500,0.0001,-500,0.0001,...
-500,0.001,-500,0.001,-500,0.001]; \%Lower bound
up=[1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,...
1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10,1e10];  \%Upper bound
nvar=size(lb,2);  \%Variables number
max=2000; \%Maximum number of iterations
time_ga_parallel=0;
sol=zeros(max,15);
fluxes=zeros(max,64);



fprintf('\n Minimization process begins\n');
for i=1:max
        [a0,a11,a12,a13,a21,a22,a23,a3,...
            b0,b11,b12,b13,b21,b22,b23,b3,...
            c01,c02,c03,c111,c112,c113,c121,c122,c123,c131,c132,c133,...
            c211,c212,c213,c221,c222,c223,c231,c232,c233,c31,c32,c33,...
            d01,d02,d03,d111,d112,d113,d121,d122,d123,d131,d132,d133,...
            d211,d212,d213,d221,d222,d223,d231,d232,...
            d233,d31,d32,d33=constraint_solution(3,32);
        fluxes(i,:)=[a0,a11,a12,a13,a21,a22,a23,...
            a3,b0,b11,b12,b13,b21,b22,b23,b3,...
            c01,c02,c03,c111,c112,c113,c121,c122,c123,c131,c132,c133,...
            c211,c212,c213,c221,c222,c223,c231,c232,c233,c31,c32,c33,...
            d01,d02,d03,d111,d112,d113,d121,d122,d123,d131,d132,d133,...
            d211,d212,d213,d221,d222,d223,d231,d232,d233,d31,d32,d33];


       %Here I call the function V_anisotropic.m which is a handle function

        V=V_anisotropicC(a0,a11,a12,a13,a21,a22,a23,...
            a3,b0,b11,b12,b13,b21,b22,b23,b3,...
            c01,c02,c03,c111,c112,c113,c121,c122,c123,c131,c132,c133,...
            c211,c212,c213,c221,c222,c223,c231,c232,c233,c31,c32,c33,...
            d01,d02,d03,d111,d112,d113,d121,d122,d123,d131,d132,d133,...
            d211,d212,d213,d221,d222,d223,d231,d232,d233,d31,d32,d33);
        options = gaoptimset('Generations',500,'TolCon',1e-6,'TolFun',1e-10,...
         'PopulationSize',200,'MutationFcn',@mutationadaptfeasible,...
'StallGenLimit',20,'Display','off','Vectorized','on');
        startTime = tic;
        [x,fval,flag]=ga(V,nvar,[],[],[],[],lb,[],[],options);
        time_ga_parallel = toc(startTime);
        fprintf('Iter. \%g takes \%g minutes.',i,time_ga_parallel/60.);


        fprintf('\n SolFluxes={a0->\%d,a11->\%d,a12->\%d,a13->\%d,a21->\%d,a22->\%d,...
        a23->\%d,a3->\%d,b0->\%d,b11->\%d,b12->\%d,b13->\%d,b21->\%d,b22->\%d,...
        b23->\%d,b3->\%d,c01->\%d,c02->\%d,c03->\%d,c111->\%d,c112->\%d,c113->\%d,...
        c121->\%d,c122->\%d,c123->\%d,c131->\%d,c132->\%d,c133->\%d,c211->\%d,...
        c212->\%d,c213->\%d,c221->\%d,c222->\%d,c223->\%d,c231->\%d,c232->\%d,...
        c233->\%d,c31->\%d,c32->\%d,c33->\%d,d01->\%d,d02->\%d,d03->\%d,d111->\%d,...
        d112->\%d,d113->\%d,d121->\%d,d122->\%d,d123->\%d,d131->\%d,d132->\%d,...
        d133->\%d,d211->\%d,d212->\%d,d213->\%d,d221->\%d,d222->\%d,...
        d223->\%d,d231->\%d,d232->\%d,d233->\%d,d31->\%d,d32->\%d,d33->\%d};',fluxes(i,:));
        fprintf('\ntestsol={taur1->\%0.25f,taui1->\%0.25f,taur2->\%0.25f,taui2->\%0.25f,...
        taur3->\%0.25f,taui3->\%0.25f,Sr->\%0.25f,Si->\%0.25f,Ur1->\%0.25f,Ui1->\%0.25f,...
        Ur2->\%0.25f,Ui2->\%0.25f,Ur3->\%0.25f,Ui3->\%0.25f};\n',x);
        sol(i,:)=[x,fval];

end
figure(1);
loglog(1./sol(:,8),-sol(:,15),' x ');
xlabel('g_s');
ylabel('V_0');
title('Negative Lambda');
figure(2);
loglog(1./sol(:,8),sol(:,15),' x ');
xlabel('g_s');
ylabel('V_0');
title('Positive Lambda');

% Here I write the final solution ************************
save('solucion_anisotropic','sol');
save('flujo2_anisotropic','fluxes');
%*****************************************************
ToMathematica
```

References

[1] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, *De Sitter vacua in string theory*, Phys.Rev. **D68** (2003), 046005, `hep-th/0301240`.

[2] R. Kallosh, *On inflation in string theory*, Lect.Notes Phys. **738** (2008), 119–156, `hep-th/0702059`.

[3] D. Andriot, *Non-geometric fluxes versus (non)-geometry*, (2013), `1303.0251`.

[4] U. H. Danielsson, S. S. Haque, G. Shiu, and T. Van Riet, *Towards Classical de Sitter Solutions in String Theory*, JHEP **0909** (2009), 114, `0907.2041`.

[5] U. H. Danielsson, P. Koerber, and T. Van Riet, *Universal de Sitter solutions at tree-level*, JHEP **1005** (2010), 090, `1003.3590`.

[6] G. Dibitetto, R. Linares, and D. Roest, *Flux Compactifications, Gauge Algebras and De Sitter*, Phys.Lett. **B688** (2010), 96–100, `1001.3982`.

[7] X. Chen, G. Shiu, Y. Sumitomo, and S. Tye, *A Global View on The Search for de-Sitter Vacua in (type IIA) String Theory*, (2011), `1112.3338`, 22 pages, 5 figures/ v2, v3: arguments improved, references added.

[8] G. Shiu and Y. Sumitomo, *Stability Constraints on Classical de Sitter Vacua*, JHEP **1109** (2011), 052, `1107.2925`, 18 pages/ v2: argument improved, references added.

[9] U. H. Danielsson, S. S. Haque, P. Koerber, G. Shiu, T. Van Riet, et al., *De Sitter hunting in a classical landscape*, Fortsch.Phys. **59** (2011), 897–933, `1103.4858`.

[10] A. Borghese, R. Linares, and D. Roest, *Minimal Stability in Maximal Supergravity*, (2011), `1112.3939`, 27 pages, 1 figure.

[11] D. Marsh, L. McAllister, and T. Wrase, *The Wasteland of Random Supergravities*, (2011), `1112.3034`.

[12] U. H. Danielsson, G. Shiu, T. Van Riet, and T. Wrase, *A note on obstinate tachyons in classical dS solutions*, (2012), `1212.5178`.

[13] J. Blaaback, U. Danielsson, and G. Dibitetto, *Fully stable dS vacua from generalised fluxes*, (2013), `1301.7073`.

[14] G. Dibitetto, A. Guarino, and D. Roest, *Exceptional Flux Compactifications*, (2012), `1202.0770`.

[15] U. Danielsson and G. Dibitetto, *On the distribution of stable de Sitter vacua*, (2012), `1212.4984`.

[16] A. Borghese, D. Roest, and I. Zavala, *A Geometric bound on F-term inflation*, JHEP **1209** (2012), 021, `1203.2909`.

[17] C. Damian, L. R. Diaz-Barron, O. Loaiza-Brito, and M. Sabido, *Slow-Roll Inflation in Non-geometric Flux Compactification*, (2013), `1302.0529`.

[18] B. Wecht, *Lectures on Nongeometric Flux Compactifications*, Class.Quant.Grav. **24** (2007), S773–S794, `0708.3984`.

[19] G. Aldazabal, P. G. Camara, A. Font, and L. Ibanez, *More dual fluxes and moduli fixing*, JHEP **0605** (2006), 070, `hep-th/0602089`.

[20] D. Andriot, M. Larfors, D. Lust, and P. Patalong, *A ten-dimensional action for non-geometric fluxes*, JHEP **1109** (2011), 134, `1106.4015`.

[21] D. Andriot, E. Goi, R. Minasian, and M. Petrini, *Supersymmetry breaking branes on solvmanifolds and de Sitter vacua in string theory*, JHEP **1105** (2011), 028, `1003.3774`.